

# Green-Tao numbers and SAT

Oliver Kullmann  
Computer Science Department  
Swansea University

SAT 2010  
Edinburgh, July 12, 2010

# Avoiding progressions of size 3 in the primes

Partition  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23\}$   
into two parts  
such that no part  
contains an arithmetic progression of size 3:

$$2\ 3\ 5\ 7 \mid 11\ 13\ 17\ 19\ 23$$

$$2\ 3\ 5\ 11 \mid 7\ 13\ 17\ 19\ 23$$

$$2\ 3\ 5\ 11\ 13 \mid 7\ 17\ 19\ 23$$

$$\mathbf{grt}_2(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) > 9$$

$$\mathbf{grt}_2(3, 3) = 23$$

The **Green-Tao Theorem** guarantees that  
 $\mathbf{grt}_m(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}$  always exists.

# The basic SAT translation

OKlibrary at <http://www.ok-sat-library.org>

```
(%i1) oklib_load_all();
(%i2) output_greentao2_stdname(3,9);
> more GreenTao_2-3_9.cnf
c Green-Tao problem (diagonal form), created by the OKlibrary:
c 2 parts, arithmetic progressions of size 3, and 9 prime numbers.
c Variables and associated prime numbers:
c 1 : 2
c 2 : 3
c 3 : 5
c 4 : 7
c 5 : 11
c 6 : 13
c 7 : 17
c 8 : 19
c 9 : 23
p cnf 9 14
2 3 4 0
2 4 5 0
3 5 7 0
2 5 8 0
4 6 8 0
2 6 9 0
5 7 9 0
-4 -3 -2 0
-5 -4 -2 0
-7 -5 -3 0
-8 -5 -2 0
-8 -6 -4 0
-9 -6 -2 0
-9 -7 -5 0
```

In this initial phase (see [5, 6]) of the investigations into

## Ramsey theory and SAT

we

- computed “the” basic Green-Tao numbers, and
- determined the “best” available SAT methods.

We investigated

- 1 how to get more problems of non-astronomical size
- 2 how to translate the non-boolean problems (i.e.,  $m > 2$ ) into boolean problems.

General methods were found to approach both problems.

In the future we hope to establish Ramsey-type problems as an important class of benchmark problems for (general) SAT solvers.

# Outline

Introduction

Green-Tao  
numbers

Transversal  
extensions

The generic  
boolean translation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Green-Tao numbers
- 3 Transversal extensions
- 4 The generic boolean translation

# On the history of the Green-Tao theorem

- Arithmetic progressions in the primes have been investigated for more than 200 years.
- The existence of arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions in the primes is a special case of the famous “ $k$ -tuple conjecture” of Hardy and Littlewood in 1923 (still wide open).
- The final proof in 2004 by Ben Green and Terence Tao is based on *Additive Number Theory* and *Ramsey Theory*.

# Simple parameter tuples

Already  $\text{grt}_1(k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  poses a non-trivial mathematical problem, namely

the question is to find the smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   
such that the first  $n$  prime numbers  
contain an arithmetic progression of length  $k$ .

- Trivially  $\text{grt}_1(1) = 1$  and  $\text{grt}_1(2) = 2$ , while  $\text{grt}_1(3) = 4$  (confirmed by the progression  $(3, 5, 7)$ ).
- The computation of  $\text{grt}_1(k)$  has nothing to do with SAT solving, so we can't contribute here, but the known values give a first feeling for the growth involved.

# The known values of $\text{grt}_1(k)$

$k$	$\text{grt}_1(k)$
1	1
2	2
3	4
4	9
5	10
6	37
7	155
8	263
9	289
10	316
11	21,966
12	23,060
13	58,464
14	2,253,121
15	9,686,320
16	11,015,837
17	227,225,515
18	755,752,809
19	3,466,256,932
20	22,009,064,470
21	220,525,414,079

We see that for the exploration of non-simple parameter tuples only  $k \leq 10$  is feasible.

# Core parameter tuples

$a$	$b$	3	4	5	6	7
3		23	79	528	$\geq 2072$	$> 13800$
4		-	512	$> 4231$		
5		-	-	$\geq 34309$		

$a, b$	$c$	3	4	5
3, 3		137	$\geq 434$	$> 1989$
3, 4		-	$> 1662$	$> 8200$

$a, b, c$	$d$	3	4
3, 3, 3		$> 384$	$> 1052$
3, 3, 4		-	$> 2750$

# Regarding the solvers

- 1 All lower bounds are computed by local-search algorithms.
- 2 While in the 5 successful cases of computing (core) Green-Tao numbers, for determining unsatisfiability a DPLL-like (complete) SAT solver is used.
- 3 Already in these cases we see a good variety of algorithms/solvers being best:
  - OKsolver-2002 (look-ahead) versus minisat2 (conflict-driven) on the complete side,
  - adaptnovelty+ for the binary lower bounds,
  - except of (5, 5) where survey propagation succeeded,
  - and rnovelty+ for the non-binary lower bounds.

We need to discuss what to do in the non-binary cases!

# Regarding growth

- 1 Regarding  $\text{grt}_1(k)$ : Proven is an exponential tower of height 8, conjectured

$$\text{grt}_1(k) \leq \pi(k! + 1).$$

- 2 We have furthermore

$$\text{grt}_m(k_1, \dots, k_m) \leq \text{grt}_1(\text{vdw}_m(k_1, \dots, k_m)).$$

- 3 We conjecture that this yields the right order of magnitude, that is,

GT-numbers are (just) exponential in  
van-der-Waerden numbers.

We need more food (for the solvers)!

# Cases with $k_i = 2$

We call a parameter-tuple **core**, if

- it has at least two entries
- and every entry is at least 3.

Parameter-values 2 seems not to have been systematically considered until now:

Tuples of the form  $(2, \dots, 2, k_1, \dots, k_l)$  we call **transversal extensions** of  $(k_1, \dots, k_l)$ .

Finding a solution for  $m$  initial 2's means, that we can discard at our will  $m$  prime numbers.

Keeping  $(k_1, \dots, k_l)$  and growing the prefix of 2's, we prove in the underlying [5, 6] (in a general framework):

**Theorem** *If we have the Szemerédi property, then for every tuple  $(k_1, \dots, k_l)$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for  $m$  big enough we have:*

$$N_{m+l}(2, \dots, 2, k_1, \dots, k_l) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot m.$$

Since the Green-Tao theorem actually proves the Szemerédi property, we get

$$\text{grt}_{m+l}(2, \dots, 2, k_1, \dots, k_l) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot m.$$

# Remarks on transversal GT-numbers

- Now the conflict-driven solvers become superior.
- Regarding the lower bounds, the `novelty`-family is no longer dominant, but other local-search algorithms often perform best (`saps`, `sapsnr`, `rsaps`, `walksat`, `walksat-tabu`).
- See the paper/report for the numbers/bounds.

The “true” generalisation of boolean CNF to non-boolean CNF seems to be the following:

- 1 variables  $v$  have (finite) domains  $D_v$
- 2 literals are of the form “ $v \neq \varepsilon$ ” for some  $\varepsilon \in D_v$ ;
- 3 these clauses are called “no-goods” in constraint solving.

For a systematic investigation see [2, 3, 4].

With these non-boolean clause-sets also the non-boolean Green-Tao problems, for tuples  $(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ , now have a canonical representation, using  $m$  values.

# A general scheme for a boolean translation

The *generic boolean translation*  $F \rightsquigarrow T(F)$  for a non-boolean clause-set  $F$  is as follows (using  $m := |D_V|$ );

- For each variable  $v$ , choose unsatisfiable variable-disjoint boolean clause-sets  $F_v$  with at least  $m$  clauses.
- Choose different clauses  $C_1, \dots, C_m \in F_v$ .
- Literals " $v \neq \varepsilon_i$ " are replaced by the clauses  $C_i$ .
- The "remainder clauses" in  $R_v := F_v \setminus \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$  are all added to the translation.

Note that

$$n(T(F)) = \sum_{v \in \text{var}(F)} n(F_v)$$
$$c(T(F)) = c(F) + \sum_{v \in \text{var}(F)} c(R_v).$$

# Example: The direct translations

Here we choose

$$F_V = \{ \{v_1\}, \dots, \{v_m\}, \{\overline{v_1}, \dots, \overline{v_m}\} \},$$

and we choose the unit-clauses to correspond to the values.

- 1 For the *weak form* (using only ALO-clauses) that's it (so we have one remainder clause).
- 2 For the *strong form* we add all positive binary clauses to (the remainder of)  $F_V$  (so obtaining the AMO-clauses).

# The weak nested translation

Here we use  $p := m - 1$  (boolean) variables  $v_1, \dots, v_p$   
and

$$F_v = \{ \{v_1\}, \{\overline{v_1}, v_2\}, \dots, \{\overline{v_1}, \dots, \overline{v_{p-1}}, v_p\}, \{\overline{v_1}, \dots, \overline{v_p}\} \}.$$

There are no remainder clauses.

Yet we tested these (and other, related) translations only  
on the Green-Tao instances, but this we did rather  
extensively.

## Big surprise:

For “large”  $m$  the logarithmic translation was best,  
and for all other  $m$  the weak nested translation —  
for all solver types.

“Best” often means by orders of magnitudes.

# Summary

- I This concludes for us the initial phase.
- II Several other forms of problems from Ramsey theory have also been considered.
- III We see a rich diverse behaviour, where every solver can be best on some class for some parameters.
- IV Now the task is to understand what's going on!
  - V Especially the unsatisfiable cases need to be improved.
- VI So amongst others we will investigate tree-resolution and full-resolution complexity in detail, experimentally as well as theoretically.
- VII As an aside, the resolution proofs found for the Green-Tao instances seem to have surprising (number-theoretical) regularities.

# References



Ben Green and Terence Tao.

The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.  
*Annals of Mathematics*, 167(2):481–547, 2008.



Oliver Kullmann.

Constraint satisfaction problems in clausal form: Autarkies and minimal unsatisfiability.  
Technical Report TR 07-055, version 02, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC), January 2009.



Oliver Kullmann.

Constraint satisfaction problems in clausal form I: Autarkies and deficiency.  
*Fundamenta Informaticae*, 2010. To appear.



Oliver Kullmann.

Constraint satisfaction problems in clausal form II: Minimal unsatisfiability and conflict structure.  
*Fundamenta Informaticae*, 2010. To appear.



Oliver Kullmann.

Exact Ramsey theory: Green-Tao numbers and SAT.  
Technical Report arXiv:1004.0653v2 [cs.DM], arXiv, April 2010.



Oliver Kullmann.

Green-Tao numbers and SAT.  
In Ofer Strichman and Stefan Szeider, editors, *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2010*, volume 6175 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2010.



E. Szemerédi.

On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in arithmetic progression.  
*Acta Arithmetica*, 27:299–345, 1975.



B.L. van der Waerden.

Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung.  
*Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, 15:212–216, 1927.

Green-Tao  
numbers and SAT

Oliver Kullmann

Introduction

Green-Tao  
numbers

Transversal  
extensions

The generic  
boolean translation

End